NEW STRAITS TIMES

NST Leader: New education plan

September 25, 2024 @ 12:00am



The Education Ministry's recently launched Strategic Education Plan 2024 to 2030 (PSKPM) is intended to address these challenges over the next six years. NSTP/AMIRUDIN SAHIB

Education in Malaysia has long been a complex and challenging issue, as acknowledged by Education Minister Fadhlina Sidek. This challenge has persisted across successive governments, each grappling with similar concerns.

The Education Ministry's recently launched Strategic Education Plan 2024 to 2030 (PSKPM) is intended to address these challenges over the next six years. However, before exploring the details of this plan, it's important to touch on a recent debate: the call to reinstate UPSR and PT3 exams, which stems from concerns over students' lack of literacy and arithmetic skills.

There is a divide in opinions on this matter. Some believe that exams are the most effective way to identify and address these issues, while others argue that assessments, if conducted properly, can achieve similar results. Finland's exam-free model is often cited as an example, but whether it would be successful in Malaysia is still up for debate. Fadhlina has stated that the decision to reinstate UPSR and PT3 will be left to the cabinet, but the cabinet may need additional insights to tackle the underlying issues or even to address multiple challenges at once.

A major concern is that not everyone who advocates for or against the reinstatement of exams fully understands why many students struggle with basic literacy and arithmetic. This lack of understanding is partly because the relevant information is not readily available. As a result, much of the debate is based on speculation rather than data. The ministry might need to play a role in providing the public with more insights into why these problems exist, which could help in formulating effective solutions. However, understanding the root causes does not necessarily mean everyone is equipped to implement the solutions.

The PSKPM offers hope by aiming to address educational challenges in a holistic and systematic way, in line with the changing times. Parents and other stakeholders will be closely monitoring the promises made in this plan. However, the language of the document — filled with corporate jargon like "strategies" and "strategic thrusts" — might be difficult for some to navigate. This reflects the influence of corporate consultancies on government policy documents. Nevertheless, the real value of the plan will be proven through its implementation, and ensuring that the plan translates smoothly into practice will be crucial.

A potential challenge lies in the gap between the broad objectives of the PSKPM and the actual implementation at the individual level. This is where things can easily be lost in translation. To address this, two oversight bodies — the PSKPM Monitoring Parent Committee and the PSKPM Working Committee — have been established by the Education Ministry. These committees are responsible for ensuring the smooth execution of the plan and the achievement of its goals. The 82 key performance indicators (KPIs) outlined in the plan will serve as valuable tools for these committees to track progress.

The Education Ministry appears to have aligned its vision and mission well. Its vision, "Quality Education, Educated Individuals, Prosperous Nation," is hard to dispute. Similarly, its mission, "Sustaining a Quality Education System to Develop Individual Potential in Line with National Aspirations," reflects a clear and commendable goal. However, as is often the case, the details will be where success or failure is determined. The KPIs included in the PSKPM will play a crucial role in ensuring these details are not overlooked.

While the plan's vision and mission are clear, the challenge will be in how effectively they are implemented. It's one thing to set high-level goals, but quite another to ensure that these are achieved across all levels of the education system. This is especially important when dealing with a system as large and diverse as Malaysia's. Ensuring that each school, teacher, and student benefits from the plan will require careful management and continuous oversight.

In conclusion, the PSKPM represents a promising step forward for Malaysia's education system, but much depends on its execution. The two oversight committees and the use of KPIs are important mechanisms to track progress and hold those responsible accountable. However, the Education Ministry must remain vigilant to ensure that the plan's objectives do not get lost in bureaucratic processes or misinterpreted at the ground level. The ultimate test will be when these policies translate into improved educational outcomes for students across the country.